

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,657	09/15/2003	Thomas T. Hardt	200302618-2	9403
75	590 01/23/2006	EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			BUI, HUNG S	
Intellectual Property Administration				
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2841	

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
Office Action Commons	10/662,657	HARDT ET AL.	(A)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hung S. Bui	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oc	ctober 2005						
·— · — —	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E			,				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-9,11-21 and 23-35</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) <u>1,4-9,11-16,21 and 23-31</u> is/are allow	ed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-20,32 and 34</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33 and 35</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33 and 35</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09/15/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
and the attached detailed office detailed a field	or the continue copies flot receive	u .					

Attachment(s)	A) Thomas Comercia	(DTO 412)					
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P		D-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/662,657

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 17-20 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grant et al. [US 6,501,020].

Regarding claim 17, Grant et al. disclose a harness assembly (figure 1) for supporting at least one flexible member (18), comprising a flexible longitudinal member (42) and a plurality of self-securing straps (44) secured to the longitudinal member with each of the plurality of straps being adapted to secure the harness to the at least one flexible member.

Regarding claims 18-20, Grant et al. disclose a first securing member adapted to secure the harness to movable device (22) and a second securing member adapted to secure the harness to a supporting member/stationary object (32, figure 3).

Regarding claim 34, Grant et al. disclose the means for connecting the first support member to the harness comprising means for hooking (figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,657 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grant et

al.

Regarding claim 32, Grant et al. disclose means for connecting the second support member to the harness comprising means for wiring. Grant et al. discloses the claimed invention except for means for connecting the first support member to the harness comprising means for wiring. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect the first support member to the harness instead of the second harness to the means for wiring, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 4-9, 11-16, 21, 23-31 are allowed.

only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest, in the claimed combination, a harness for supporting at least one flexible member including each of the securing member and the supporting member having a hook, a wire, elastic member, a reel, or some combination thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,657

Art Unit: 2841

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

6. Claims 33 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - 1. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 1, 9 and 21, are persuasive. Therefore, claims 1, 9 and 21, as amended, have been considered and indicated as being allowable.
 - 2. Applicant argument regarding claim 17, that the claim 17 includes meansplus-function language, as set forth in 35 U.S.C & 112, paragraph 6, and should be examined in accordance with this body of law meaning that the limitation is limited to securing means only shown in the specification.

Examiner disagrees. see MPEP 2181 which states that "It is necessary to decide on an element by element basis whether 35 U.S.C. § 112, sixth paragraph, applies. Not all terms in a means-plus-function or step-plus-function

clause are limited to what is disclosed in the written description and equivalents thereof, since 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, applies only to the interpretation of the means or step that performs the recited function. See, e.g., IMS Technology Inc. v. Haas Automation Inc., 206 F.3d 1422, 54 USPQ2d 1129.

3. Applicant argues that Grant et al. fails to disclose a means for connecting a first support member to the harness i.e. the securing member being "a hook, a wire, an elastic member, or a reel, as recited in the claim" to enable the first support member to suspend the at least one electrical cable therefrom.

Examiner disagrees. Grant et al. disclose a first support member, see element 32, figure 2, supporting the harness, see element 42, to the first support member to suspend the at least one electrical cable, see element 18, therefrom. Elements 32 and portions 42 are the securing members and as can be seen from figures 1-2, element 44 can be consider to be a hook or an elastic member.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/662,657 Page 6

Art Unit: 2841

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hung S. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1/8/06

Hung Bui

Art Unit 2841

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800